

## Plan of Choquet Session

1. Welcome and thanks
2. Programme
3. Reasons for the CI and weightings – rationale behind the need.
4. How the CI weightings are elicited.
5. Examples – 1. ‘Good Feeding’ Principle – 90/100 access to food, 10/100 access to water – simple mean 50 aggregate score <50 but > or = 10 e.g. 20, 15?  
  
Example 2. ‘Good Behaviour’ Principle - Four criteria scores 70, 70, 70, 30 – simple mean 60 but may feel that overall aggregate score for the Principle should be <60 – e.g. 50, 40?  
  
Some scores may seem unlikely e.g. due to the relationship between Criteria within a Principle where one Criteria is low or high and a related Criteria also likely to be low or high.
6. Best shot at score – don’t spend too much time – 25 mins allowed.
7. Submit scores to Heather on spreadsheet by email during the meeting.
8. Mean scores reviewed – do they look reasonable and acceptable to the Panel?  
Can we agree these as consensus scores?
9. Later follow up – CI weightings calculated. Will be applied to future policy case study scores alongside the simple mean.